

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,258	10/28/2003	Rebecca Gomez	REB/001 DIV	7051
1473 7590 08/21/2008 ROPES & GRAY ILLP PATENT DOCKETING 39/361 1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 1003-6-8704			EXAMINER	
			JOHNSON III, HENRY M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1437 16444,111 16656 6761			3739	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/696,258 GOMEZ, REBECCA Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Henry M. Johnson, III -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 13-15,19,21 and 27-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6) Claim(s) 13-15,19,21 and 27 is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	

S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20080816
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Rev 3) Information Displacium Statement(s) (PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 041408	riew (PTO-948) Pap B/08) 5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)Mail Date. ee of Informat Patent Application er.

Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 14, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The strip of Beaudry is disclosed as flexible (abstract), thus capable of assuming any shape. Further a change in the shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966). Likewise a change in the size of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). The claim limitations based on an intended use of the device do not impact the device structure and are not given any patentable weight.

The examiner notes for the record that a strip of common adhesive tape is capable of separating areas of tissue.

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 28-32 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: method steps have not been previously searched or addressed.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 28-32 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Objections

Claim 27 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the

Application/Control Number: 10/696,258

Art Unit: 3739

claim(s) in independent form. The intended use of a device does not impact the device

structure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13, 19 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 1997/42918 to Beaudry. Beaudry teaches at least one strip of material with adhesive applied to one side of each of its two ends. This strip is disclosed as a structure for providing a lifting force to a predetermined area of the epidermis (page 2, lines 6-7), this is interpreted as being capable of providing such forces to any area of a body. The limitation of separating tissue is based on intended use with no impact on the device structure and given no patentable weight. The flexible strip is capable of assuming the shape of a crescent.

Regarding claim 27, the intended use cited does not further limit the device structure.

Claims 13, 19 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 4,133,307 to Ness. Ness teaches an elongated strip of elastic material (Col. 2, lines 26-27) having end portions (Fig. 1, #s 17 & 18) with adhesive (Col. 2, lines 32-33). The structure when applied around a users leg is interpreted as crescent (Fig. 4), however, the device is interpreted as capable of assuming many shapes.

Regarding claim 27, the intended use cited does not further limit the device structure.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,258

Art Unit: 3739

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14, 15 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 1997/42918 to Beaudry. Claim 14 is a duplication of the strip of claim 13. Duplication of parts is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patents 2,310,082 and 4,732,146 teach strips with adhesive at end portions. U.S. Patent 6,018,092 teaches multiple strips with adhesive at end portions.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event.

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry M. Johnson, III whose telephone number is (571) 272-4768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 5:30 AM to 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Henry M. Johnson, III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3739

/HMJ/ 8/16/2008